GEF SECRETARIAT REVIEW FOR FULL/MEDIUM-SIZED PROJECTS*
THE GEF/LDCF/SCCF/NPIF TRUST FUNDS

GEF ID:	**5380**		
Country/Region:	**Haiti**		
Project Title:	**Increasing Resilience of Ecosystems and Vulnerable Communities to CC and Anthropic Threats Through a Ridge to Reef Approach to BD Conservation and Watershed Management**		
GEF Agency:	**UNDP**	GEF Agency Project ID:	**4648 (UNDP)**
Type of Trust Fund:	**Multi Trust Fund**	GEF Focal Area (s):	**Multi Focal Area**
GEF-5 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF Objective (s):		**CCA-1; CCA-3; BD-1; Project Mana; Project Mana;**	
Anticipated Financing PPG:	**$180,000**	Project Grant:	**$9,135,068**
Co-financing:	**$43,000,000**	Total Project Cost:	**$52,315,068**
PIF Approval:		Council Approval/Expected:	**June 01, 2013**
CEO Endorsement/Approval		Expected Project Start Date:	
Program Manager:	**Rawleston Moore**	Agency Contact Person:	**Lyes Ferroukhi**

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment at PIF (PFD)/Work Program Inclusion	Secretariat Comment At CEO Endorsement(FSP)/Approval (MSP)
Eligibility	1. Is the participating **country eligible**?	April 12 2013 Haiti is eligible to receive resources from the LDCF- RM Haiti is eligible under BD focal area. AWV	
	2. Has the **operational focal point** endorsed the project?	April 12 2013 A letter is on file from the operational focal point. RM AWV	
Resource Availability	3. Is the proposed Grant (including the Agency fee) within the **resources available** from (mark all that apply):		
	• the STAR allocation?	April 12 2013	

		Haiti has sufficient resources in its BD allocation for this project. AWV	
	• the focal area allocation?		
	• the LDCF under the principle of equitable access	April 12 2013 Resources are available from the LDCF under the principle or equitable access. RM	
	• the SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)?		
	• the Nagoya Protocol Investment Fund		
	• focal area set-aside?		
Strategic Alignment	4. Is the project aligned with the focal area/multifocal areas/ LDCF/SCCF/NPIF **results framework and strategic objectives**? *For BD projects: Has the project explicitly articulated which Aichi Target(s) the project will help achieve and are SMART indicators identified, that will be used to track progress toward achieving the Aichi target(s).*	April 12 2013 The project is aligned with the LDCF results framework and strategic objectives. CCA1 and CCA2 have been identified. RM The project is aligned with GEF BD focal area strategy and it explicitly targets particular Aichi Targets.	
	5. Is the project consistent with the recipient **country's national strategies and plans** or reports and assessments under relevant conventions, including NPFE, NAPA, NCSA, NBSAP or NAP?	April 12 2013 The project is consistent with the NAPA of Haiti. It is also aligned with its NBSAP and its priorities on natural resource management and protected area system strengthening and expansion.	
	6. Is (are) the **baseline project(s),** including problem(s) that the baseline project(s) seek/s to address, sufficiently described and based on sound data and assumptions?	April 12 2013 Yes the baseline project, including problems that the baseline project seeks to address, sufficiently described and based on sound data and assumptions. RM	

Project Design		described.	
	7. Are the components, outcomes and outputs in the **project framework** (Table B) clear, sound and appropriately detailed?	April 12 2013 The components, outcomes and outputs in the project framework (Table B) are clear, sound and appropriately detailed. RM AWV	
	8. (a) Are global environmental/ adaptation benefits identified? (b) Is the description of the incremental/additional reasoning sound and appropriate?	April 12 2013 Yes, the adaptation benefits are identified and based on additional reasoning. RM The BD and global benefits are clear and based on incremental reasoning.	
	9. Is there a clear description of: a) the **socio-economic benefits**, including gender dimensions, to be delivered by the project, and b) how will the delivery of such benefits support the achievement of incremental/ additional benefits?		
	10. Is the role of public participation, including CSOs, and indigenous peoples where relevant, identified and explicit means for their engagement explained?	April 12 2013 The project will collaborate closely with a number of national and international environmental NGOs which are active in research and conservation activities in marine and coastal areas in the country, including the Nature Conservancy. RM AV	
	11. Does the project take into account potential major risks, including the consequences of climate change, and describes sufficient risk mitigation measures? (e.g., measures to enhance climate resilience)	Yes the project take into account potential major risks, including the consequences of climate change and describes sufficient risk mitigation measure. RM April 12 2013	

	12. Is the **project consistent and properly coordinated** with other related initiatives in the country or in the region?	April 12 2013 Yes the project consistent and properly coordinated with other related initiatives in the country. RM In particular, it is closely coordinated with the existing UNDP implemented project on protected area system sustainability and also with IDB implemented efforts in the north of Haiti on developing an industrial part and protecting adjacent mangroves and the watershed in the area.	
	13. Comment on the project's **innovative aspects, sustainability, and potential for scaling up**. • Assess whether the project is innovative and if so, how, and if not, why not. • Assess the project's strategy for sustainability, and the likelihood of achieving this based on GEF and Agency experience. • Assess the potential for scaling up the project's intervention.	April 12 2013 The approach of using ecosystem based adaptation in Haiti, is innovative and this approach has the potential for scaling up and replicability in other areas of Haiti, and other countries. In terms of sustainability the project will establish new policies, plans and frameworks for the Government of Haiti. RM The BD portion is highly innovative in that it will support the establishment of the first marine protected areas in the country. A requirement for the project is that Haiti put in place its framework for PA system sustainability.	
	14. Is the project structure/design sufficiently close to what was presented at PIF, with clear justifications for changes?		
	15. Has the cost-effectiveness of the project been sufficiently demonstrated, including the cost-effectiveness of the project design as compared to alternative approaches to achieve similar benefits?		

Project Financing	financing as indicated in Table B appropriate and adequate to achieve the expected outcomes and outputs?	Yes , the GEF funding and co-financing is appropriate and adequate to achieve the expected outcomes and output. RM AWV	
	17. At PIF: Is the indicated amount and composition of co-financing as indicated in Table C adequate? Is the amount that the Agency bringing to the project in line with its role? At CEO endorsement: Has co-financing been confirmed?	April 12 2013 The amount and composition of co-financing is robust. It will leverage financing from USAID, IDB, UNDP, and others and demonstrates how the GEF can have catalytic impact. The collaboration with the IDB and USAID is particularly noteworthy. RM AWV	
	18. Is the funding level for **project management cost** appropriate?	April 12 2013 Yes, the level for project management cost appropriate. RM AV AWV	
	19. At PIF, is PPG requested? If the requested amount deviates from the norm, has the Agency provided adequate justification that the level requested is in line with project design needs? At CEO endorsement/ approval, if PPG is completed, did Agency report on the activities using the PPG fund?	April 12 2013 A PPG is requested and the amount requested is adequate. RM AWV	
	20. If there is **a non-grant instrument** in the project, is there a reasonable calendar of reflows included?	N/A	
Project Monitoring and Evaluation	21. Have the appropriate **Tracking Tools** been included with information for all relevant indicators, as applicable?		
	22. Does the proposal include a **budgeted M&E Plan** that monitors and measures results with indicators and targets?		

	responded to comments from:		
	• STAP?		
	• Convention Secretariat?		
	• The Council?		
	• Other GEF Agencies?		
Secretariat Recommendation			
Recommendation at PIF Stage	**24. Is PIF clearance/approval being recommended?**	April 12 2013 The PIF has been technically cleared and may be included in an upcoming Work Program. RM AWV	
	25. Items to consider at CEO endorsement/approval.	April 12 2013 For CEO endorsement, GEFSecretariat will require that the "integrated operational and financial framework" for Haiti's National Protected Area System have been established. (This is currently targeted to be in place by June 2014, as mentioned in paragraph 78.) Please provide confirmation of cofinancing Please provide additional details on proposed new in livelihood support activities which may be put in place to enhance climate resiliance. RM	
Recommendation at CEO Endorsement/ Approval	**26. Is CEO endorsement/approval being recommended?**		
	First review*	April 12, 2013	
Review Date (s)	Additional review (as necessary)		
	Additional review (as necessary)		

* **This is the first time the Program Manager provides full comments for the project. Subsequent follow-up reviews should be recorded. For specific comments for each section, please insert a date after comments. Greyed areas in each section do not need comments.**