# AGRICULTURAL POLLUTION CONTROL PROJECT

# FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR MANURE STORAGE SYSTEM

#### LIST OF CONTENTS

#### A. Descriptive

- 1. GENERAL INFORMATION
- 1.1 Name of investment objective
- 1.2 Designer
- 1.3 Implementing agency
- 1.4 Client
- 1.5 Location
- 1.6 Investment necessity and opportunity
- 1.7 Technological and operational description

#### 2. INVESTMENT TECHNICAL DATA

- 2.1 Investment objective area and land tenure situation
- 2.2 Geomorphology of the investment location
- 2.3 Main characteristics of structures
  - 2.3.1 Structures to be built
  - 2.3.2 Principal features
- 2.4 Structural components
  - 2.4.1 Concrete platform
  - 2.4.2 Storage tank
  - 2.4.3 Bunkers for segregated wastes
  - 2.4.4 Access roads
  - 2.4.5 Fencing
- 2.5 Required machinery and equipment
- 2.6 Ancillary installations
- 2.7 Other infrastructure

## 3. INFORMATION ON HUMAN RESOURCES

- 3.1 Total staff
- 3.2 Newly created jobs

### 4. INVESTMENT COST EVALUATION

- 4.1 General BoQ
- 4.2 BoQs for each investment component
- 4.3 Financial costs

#### 5. MAIN TECHNICAL AND ECONOMIC INDICES

- 5.1 Total investment value, of which construction & installation
- 5.2 Investment implementation schedule, of which construction & installation
- 5.3 Planned duration of investment implementation
- 5.4 Planned investment commissioning schedule

#### 6. DISBURSEMENT SOURCES

#### 7. NECESSARY PERMITS AND AUTHORIZATIONS

# **B.** Drawings

1. General layout map	scale 1:	100 000
2. Structures' 1&2 location plan	scale 1:	25 000
3. Structures' 3&4 location plan	scale 1:	25 000
4. Structures' 5&6 location plan	scale 1:	25 000
5. Structures' 7&8 location plan	scale 1:	25 000
6. Structures' 9&10 location plan	scale 1:	25 000
7. Structures' 11&12 location plan	scale 1:	25 000
8. Structures' 13&14 location plan	scale 1:	25 000
9. Manure storage platform- plan view	scale 1:	200
10.Manure storage platform- A-A elevation	scale 1:	200/50
11.Manure storage platform- B-B elevation	scale 1:	200/50

#### 1. GENERAL INFORMATION

#### 1.1 Name of investment objective

This study refers to the "Design of Village-level Manure Management, Storage and Handling System", namely to its manure storage platforms construction component.

# 1.2 Designer

This feasibility study was elaborated by the APCP Project Preparation Unit team, through its civil engineering consultant, Eng. Anca Gheorghiu.

# 1.3 Implementing agency

The principal implementing agency, designated by the GoR is the Ministry of Waters and Environmental Protection.

#### 1.4 Client

The Client is represented by the "Agricultural Pollution Control Project" Project Preparation Unit, with head offices in Calarasi, Romania.

#### 1.5 Location

The objective of the feasibility study is represented by a number of 14 manure and recyclable materials storage platforms, located within the territory of 7 communes from Calarasi county, as follows:

<u>Platforms #1 and #2, to be located in Alexandru Odobescu commune</u> – platform #1 will be situated to the north of Alexandru Odobescu village, surrounded to the N and to the W by arable land from outside the village and to the E and S, by arable land pending distribution through the Local Land Reform Commission. Platform #2 will be located to the north of Nicolae Balcescu village, surrounded on all sides by arable land from outside the village.

<u>Platforms #3 and #4, to be located in Ciocanesti commune</u> – platform #3 will be situated to the north of Smirdan village, bordered to the N, E and W by arable land from outside the village and to the S, by land formerly occupied by the livestock farm. Platform #4 will be located to the north of Sirbi village, bordered to the N, W and S by arable land and to the E by a portion of land formerly occupied by the livestock farm.

<u>Platforms #5 and #6, to be located in Cuza Voda commune</u> – platform #5 will be situated to the east of Cuza Voda village and to the SW of Calarasii Vechi village, bordered on all sides by agricultural land from outside the village area. Platform #6 will be located to the N of Ceacu village, bordered on all sides by agricultural land from outside the village area.

<u>Platforms #7, #8 and #9, to be located in Gradistea commune</u> – platform #7 will be situated to the north-west of Gradistea village, bordered to the N, E and S by land formerly occupied by the livestock farm and to the W by Calarasi-Lehliu asphalt road. Platform #8 will be located to the N of Rasa village, bordered to the N and E by arable land and to the S and W by land formerly occupied by the animal farm.

Platform #9 will be situated to the SW of Rasa village and to the SE of Bogata village respectively, bordered to the N, W and S by arable land and to the E by the Rasa - Danube floodplain road.

<u>Platforms #10 and #11, to be located in Independenta commune</u> – platform #10 will be situated to the SW of Independenta village, near the bank of Galatui lake, bordered on all sides by communal grazing land.

Platform #11 will be located to the west of Visini village, surrounded on all sides by arable land from outside the village.

<u>Platforms #12 and #13, to be located in Vilcelele commune</u> – platform #12 will be situated to the south of Vilcelele village, at approx.1km south of the community cemetery, bordered to the N, W and S by arable land from outside the village and to the east by a communal road providing access to the agricultural exploitation.

Platform #13 will be located to the NE of Floroaica village, at approx.1km north-northeast of the community cemetery, bordered to the N, W and S by arable land from outside the village and to the E by a road that links Vilcelele – Cuza Voda county road with Bucharest – Fetesti national road.

<u>Platform #14, to be located in Vlad Tepes commune</u> - this platform will be situated to the east of Vlad Tepes village, at approx. 400 m of the administrative buildings of the village agricultural commercial companies, bordered to the N, W and S by arable land from outside the village and to the east by D.C 62 communal road.

In selecting platform locations, the following criteria were taken into consideration:

- Integration with the existing Land Planning Masterplan
- Safe minimum distance from the nearest household or building
- Safe minimum distance from the nearest stream or drinking water well
- Flexible enough to allow future extension
- Clear land tenure situation
- Population density and surrounding landscape
- Access facilities towards manure and domestic waste sources

#### 1.6 Investment necessity and opportunity

This feasibility study is based upon the TOR for the Agricultural Pollution Control Project, financed from GEF funds through the World Bank; this project aims to reduce the amount of nutrients and other agricultural pollutants that enter Danube River and Black Sea, by promoting environment-friendly agricultural practices within rural communities.

The TOR include the design and provision of cost estimates for the construction of a "village-level Manure Management, Storage and Handling System".

Existing waste management at village level may be described as below:

- Within households from the project rural area, domestic wastes (containing plastic, glass, metal, cardboard materials) are usually mixed with manure coming from the stock accommodation
- Primarily, the manure is deposited on the earth, in the proximity of stock housing
- Urine and liquid phase from wastes' decomposition are allowed direct infiltration into the ground or in some cases are collected through channels into unlined pits

- Waste deposited near the stock housing was allowed to accumulate over 2-3 days before it is scraped up into a wheelbarrow and taken to a waste store, usually located close to the large gates at the front of the holding; this is also the place where the other household wastes are accumulated
- Each householder usually loads these wastes into a cart or trailer and takes them to the existing commune level "platform"

Such system has obvious flaws, such as:

- Inside the holding, wastes are practically handled twice
- Wastes are contaminated, therefore making manure direct use onto agricultural land unacceptable (relatively small amounts of inert materials contaminating significant quantities of manure)
- Lack of an impermeable concrete base for the main waste store also makes picking up the waste difficult
- Periodically, waste accumulated on the main store is set fire to, thus inducing negative effects on the environment
- Accumulated wastes cannot be stacked high nor livestock kept out because there are no retaining walls
- Rain water from roofed areas entering the waste stream can increase the volumes of effluents which can pollute
- Most holdings do not have access to mechanical handling equipment such as tractors with loaders and are limited to horse and cart

Existing commune level "platforms" already accumulated 10 to 12 years' loads of wastes, representing real threats to the environment, through nutrient leaching, either by direct infiltration into the watertable, or by surface runoff into canals and streams. Therefore, in order to reduce the volume of leached nutrients, one needs to enforce a set of integrated measures, of which the management of manure and recyclable wastes comes as one of the first priorities.

## 1.7 Technological and operational description

APCP project relies on the assumption that current waste management practices must be changed, by introducing waste segregation at household level and by encouraging utilization of environment-friendly practices. At platform level, the design concept includes the following:

- 1 Proper management of manure, involving stacking in shaped windrow heaps, 3m tall, so that the area receiving rainfall is minimised
- 2 Provide impermeable walls and floor to eliminate leaching
- 3 Provide catchment to effluent runoff and urine (draining channel and storage tank)
- 4 Provide adequate security and protection fencing
- 5 Provide by design, sufficient storage capacity for accumulated manure (a minimum of four months) so that matured material will be available for use on the agricultural land; the objective should be to empty the store by the end of autumn.

The envisaged commune level waste storage and handling system would consist of:

 One rectangular shaped platform, open fronted, with reinforced concrete floor and walls; the whole structure should be designed to withstand specific loads exerted by the accumulated wastes, handling machinery, external forces, etc. It should be unrestricted by internal walls so that the space needed for waste management and storage can be flexible. This would also suit the requirements for composting if this proves necessary for certain materials. An apron of concrete is provided for the movement of machinery and the unloading of the household carts or trailers. An effluent collection channel is provided across the full width of the front of the platform, with a metallic grizzly screen; this collects rainfall and effluent into a large basin to the side of the platform.

- One rectangular shaped storage basin, constructed in cut and fill, made of reinforced concrete and positioned immediately next to the platform, designed to collect rain water and platform effluent. It has to be able to accommodate a volume equivalent to 30 days of mean daily rainfall; the accumulated liquid will either be spread onto the field using a vacuum tanker or added on top of the waste heaps inside the platform (more frequently than the waste is spread)
- Three reinforced concrete bunkers, open fronted and of rectangular shape, for the householders to deposit the 3 types of inert wastes: steel, glass and plastic/cardboard; materials collected here would be emptied by a JCB (WOLA) type mechanical loader shovel.
- One concrete access road, stretching all the way from the front gates to the platform apron
- Security fencing, consisting of barbed wire on concrete poles

Also, for the purpose of achieving a biological filter and enhancing local landscape, a windbreak/shelterbelt will be installed parallel to the platform fencing, using separate funding.

#### 2. INVESTMENT TECHNICAL DATA

# 2.1 Investment objective area and land tenure situation

Any such investment objective should only be constructed on public land. Areas of land to be occupied by commune level platforms vary in size, according to the waste volumes estimated to be generated within each community:

- platform #1, Alexandru Odobescu commune, Alexandru Odobescu village area = 5,000 sq.m
- platform #2, Alexandru Odobescu commune, Nicolae Balcescu village area = 5,000 sq.m
- platform #3, Ciocanesti commune, Smirdan village area = 5,000 sq.m
- platform #4, Ciocanesti commune, Sirbi village area = 5,000 sq.m
- platform #5, Cuza Voda commune, Cuza Voda village area = 4,560 sq.m
- platform #6, Cuza Voda commune, Ceacu village area = 4,560 sq.m
- platform #7, Gradistea commune, Gradistea village area = 4,600 sq.m
- platform #8, Gradistea commune, Rasa village area = 4,600 sq.m
- platform #9, Gradistea commune, Rasa and Bogata villages area = 4,600 sa.m
- platform #10, Independenta commune, Independenta village area = 5,000 sq.m
- platform #11, Independenta commune, Visini village area = 5,000 sq.m
- platform #12, Vilcelele commune, Vilcelele village area = 8,300 sq.m
- platform #13, Vilcelele commune, Floroaica village area = 4,000 sq.m
- platform #14, Vlad Tepes commune, Vlad Tepes village area = 4,600 sq.m

# 2.2 Geomorphology of the investment location

In compliance with Romanian standard P 100/1992, the land where the investment objective will be constructed, is classified as "D" seismic zoning category, with Ks (seismic coefficient) = 0.16 and Tc (corner period) = 1.5 sec.

Geomorphologically speaking, the location area is part of the Southern Baragan plain, with Danube terraces and with elevations in the range of 20 - 46 m.

Geologically, this area is part of the Moesic tableland, its structure including deposits dating back to the mezozoic, neozoic and quaternary ages.

From the hydrogeological point of view, the primary watertable can be encountered at depths ranging from 3 to 15 m, with the general flow from WNW to ESE.

#### 2.3 Main characteristics of structures

#### 2.3.1 Structures to be built

The project waste management component will include the construction of 14 manure storage platforms, situated in 7 communes within Calarasi county. Each commune level platform (s) represents a construction objective:

Objective no.1 – Alexandru Odobescu commune, two platforms

Objective no.2 – Ciocanesti commune, two platforms

Objective no.3 – Cuza Voda commune, two platforms

Objective no.4 – Gradistea commune, three platforms

Objective no.5 – Independenta commune, two platforms

Objective no.6 – Vilcelele commune, two platforms

Objective no.7 – Vlad Tepes commune, one platform

# 2.3.2 Principal features

According to the estimated volumes of manure generated by each commune, actual platform size may vary.

Objective no.1 – Alexandru Odobescu commune:

#### Platform:

- storage capacity = 2,400 tonnes
- length = 34.6 m
- width = 33.0 m
- wall height = 3.0 m
- collector length = 34.0 m
- apron = 34.0/6.4 m

# Storage tank:

- storage capacity = 97.5 cu.m
- length = 6.5 m
- width = 10 m
- depth = 1.5 m of which 0.3 m above ground level

# Bunkers for recyclable materials:

- number per platform = 3
- length of one bunker = 4.0 m
- width of one bunker = 2.5 m
- height of one bunker = 1.5 m

#### Access road:

• length = 26.0 m

• width = 6.0 m

#### Fencing:

- length = 233.0 m
- height = 2.0 m

# Objective no.2 – Ciocanesti commune:

#### Platform:

- storage capacity = 3,700 tonnes
- length = 53.3 m
- width = 33.0 m
- wall height = 3.0 m
- collector length = 34.0 m
- apron = 34.0/6.4 m

# Storage tank:

- storage capacity = 147.0 cu.m
- length = 9.8 m
- width = 10 m
- depth = 1.5 m of which 0.3 m above ground level

# Bunkers for recyclable materials:

- number per platform = 3
- length of one = 4.0 m
- width of one = 2.5 m
- height of one = 1.5 m

#### Access road:

- length = 26.0 m
- width = 6.0 m

#### Fencing:

- length = 270.0 m
- height = 2.0 m

# Objective no.3 – Cuza Voda commune:

#### Platform:

- storage capacity = 2,800 tonnes
- length = 40.4 m
- width = 33.0 m
- wall height = 3.0 m
- collector length = 34.0 m
- apron = 34.0/6.4 m

# Storage tank:

- storage capacity = 112.5 cu.m
- length = 7.5 m
- width = 10 m
- depth = 1.5 m of which 0.3 m above ground level

# Bunkers for recyclable materials:

- number per platform = 3
- length of one = 4.0 m

- width of one = 2.5 m
- height of one = 1.5 m

#### Access road:

- length = 26.0 m
- width = 6.0 m

## Fencing:

- length = 245.0 m
- height = 2.0 m

# Objective no.4 – Gradistea commune:

#### Platform:

- storage capacity = 4,100 tonnes
- length = 59.0 m
- width = 33.0 m
- wall height = 3.0 m
- collector length = 34.0 m
- apron = 34.0/6.4 m

# Storage tank:

- storage capacity = 163.5 cu.m
- length = 10.9 m
- width = 10 m
- depth = 1.5 m of which 0.3 m above ground level

# Bunkers for recyclable materials:

- number per platform = 3
- length of one = 4.0 m
- width of one = 2.5 m
- height of one = 1.5 m

#### Access road:

- length = 26.0 m
- width = 6.0 m

# Fencing:

- length = 282.0 m
- height = 2.0 m

# Objective no.5 – Independenta commune:

#### Platform:

- storage capacity = 3,200 tonnes
- length = 46.1 m
- width = 33.0 m
- wall height = 3.0 m
- collector length = 34.0 m
- apron = 34.0/6.4 m

#### Storage tank:

- storage capacity = 127.5 cu.m
- length = 8.5 m
- width = 10 m

- depth = 1.5 m of which 0.3 m above ground level Bunkers for recyclable materials:
  - number per platform = 3
  - length of one = 4.0 m
  - width of one = 2.5 m
  - height of one = 1.5 m

#### Access road:

- length = 26.0 m
- width = 6.0 m

#### Fencing:

- length = 256.0 m
- height = 2.0 m

# Objective no.6 – Vilcelele commune:

#### Platform:

- storage capacity = 3,000 tonnes
- length = 43.2 m
- width = 33.0 m
- wall height = 3.0 m
- collector length = 34.0 m
- apron = 34.0/6.4 m

# Storage tank:

- storage capacity = 120.0 cu.m
- length = 8.0 m
- width = 10 m
- depth = 1.5 m of which 0.3 m above ground level

# Bunkers for recyclable materials:

- number per platform = 3
- length of one = 4.0 m
- width of one = 2.5 m
- height of one = 1.5 m

#### Access road:

- length = 26.0 m
- width = 6.0 m

#### Fencing:

- length = 250.0 m
- height = 2.0 m

# Objective no.7 – Vlad Tepes commune:

#### Platform:

- storage capacity = 2,600 tonnes
- length = 37.5 m
- width = 33.0 m
- wall height = 3.0 m
- collector length = 34.0 m
- apron = 34.0/6.4 m

# Storage tank:

- storage capacity = 103.5 cu.m
- length = 6.9 m
- width = 10 m
- depth = 1.5 m of which 0.3 m above ground level

# Bunkers for recyclable materials:

- number per platform = 3
- length of one = 4.0 m
- width of one = 2.5 m
- height of one = 1.5 m

# Access road:

- length = 26.0 m
- width = 6.0 m

#### Fencing:

- length = 239.0 m
- height = 2.0 m

# 2.4 Structural components

#### 2.4.1 Concrete platform

For the construction of the concrete manure storage platform (s), several preparation operations are required, such as topsoil removal, foundation excavation, trench bottom levelling, earthwork transport and spreading, aggregate bed laying and rolling, sealing membrane laying, etc.

Basic works include: formwork manufacturing and installation, concrete reinforcement rebars manufacturing and installation, foundation concrete casting, platform floor and apron concrete casting, precast concrete units manufacturing and installation (on three sides), concrete lining slabs and joints installation on the effluent collector, metallic grizzly screen manufacturing and installation across the effluent collector, PVC pipe installation at the downstream end of the collection channel.

Ancillary operations consist of : haulage of aggregates and other materials, concrete mixing, deployment, installation and redeployment of mobile crane, etc.

#### 2.4.2 Storage tank

Preparation works: topsoil excavation, foundation excavation, trench bottom levelling, earthwork removal and spreading, hardcore laying and rolling, damp proof membrane laying.

Basic operations: formwork manufacturing and installation, concrete reinforcement rebars manufacturing and installation, foundation concrete casting, tank wall concrete casting, special plaster works, vapour barrier casting (warm conditions), etc.

Ancillary works: haulage of aggregates and various materials, concrete mixing and transport, manual compaction operations, provision of the outlet link to the PVC pipe discharging the effluent from the collection channel.

#### 2.4.3 Bunkers for segregated wastes

Preparation works: topsoil removal, foundation excavation, trench bottom levelling, earthwork transport and spreading, hardcore laying and rolling.

Basic operations: concrete poles' foundation casting, poles' and precast slabs' installation.

Ancillary works: haulage of aggregates and various materials, concrete mixing and transport, manual compaction, provision of the outlet link to the PVC pipe that discharges effluent from the collection channel.

#### 2.4.4 Access roads

Preparation works: topsoil excavation, foundation excavation, trench bottom levelling, earthwork removal and spreading, hardcore laying and rolling.

Basic operations: concrete foundation casting, laying of concrete wearing course. Ancillary works: haulage of aggregates and materials, concrete mixing and transport.

#### 2.4.5 Fencing

Preparation works: marking the perimeter with pegs.

Basic operations: installation of precast reinforced concrete poles and barbed wire, installation of cast iron gates.

Ancillary works: haulage of aggregates and other materials.

# 2.5 Required machinery and equipment

Waste management concept involves the collection of domestic and farm wastes, unloading them at the commune level platform, managing these wastes within the storage platform and spreading matured manure on cultivated fields. For these purposes, the following plant is required:

# JCB/WOLA – type loader shovel

Type: 4 wheel drive Loading height: 4 m Fork capacity: 2 cu.m Bucket capacity: 2 cu.m Minimum engine power: 90 hp

Number required per commune: 1 for each individual platform

# Waste spreader

Type: rear discharge

Capacity: 5 cu.m (largest Romanian supply)

Number required per commune: 2

# Vacuum tanker

Type: vacuum

Capacity: 5,000 litres

Number required per commune: 1

### <u>Tractor</u>

Type: 2 wheel drive Engine power: 65 hp

Manufacturer: Tractorul Brasov Number required per commune: 2

#### Trailer

Type: single axle tipping body Nominal capacity: 5 tonnes

Number required per commune: 2

#### Waste shredder

Number required per commune: 1 for each individual platform

Costs of this plant were included under the "Design of Village-level Manure Management Storage and Handling System" component of the APCP project.

# 2.6 Ancillary installations

No other installations are envisaged for the platforms at this stage.

#### 2.7 Other infrastructure

Consolidated access roads are to be constructed in the area, using separate disbursement sources.

# 3. INFORMATION ON HUMAN RESOURCES

#### 3.1 Total staff

During the initial phase, 35 operational staff members will be required, of which:

- 14 drivers for JCB/WOLA loaders
- 7 drivers for vacuum tankers
- 14 tractor drivers

# 3.2 Newly created jobs

All 35 positions can be considered as newly created.

# 4. INVESTMENT COST EVALUATION

# 4.1 General BoQ

# Approved, Calarasi County Council President

# General Bill of Quantities for Manure and Recyclable Materials Storage Platforms

Item no.	Name of expenditure chapter	Total value (thousand ROL)	Of which subject to tendering (thousand ROL)
1	2	3	4
	Part I		
	Chapter 1 – Land procurement and prepar	ation expenditu	res
1.1	Land procurement	-	-
1.2	Land preparation	-	-
1.3	Environmental protection works including ecological reconstruction	-	-
	Total Chapter 1	-	-
	Chapter 2 – Investment ancillary infrastructure (	utilities' links, n	etworks)
2.1		-	_
	Chapter 3 – Design and technical assistar	ice expenditure	S
3.1	Field investigations, hydrogeological and topographical surveys	112,000	-
3.2	Necessary authorizations, permits, official charges and other dues	10,500	-
3.3	Design and engineering activities	376,000	-
3.4	Organization of contractors' tendering	170,603	-
3.5	Consultation and technical assistance, including	29,750	-
	the fees for the technical supervision staff, during	,	
	the construction phase		
	Total Chapter 3	698,853	-
	Chapter 4 – Investment cos		
4.1	Objective no.1 – Alex.Odobescu commune	3,882,642	3,882,642
4.2	Objective no.2 – Ciocanesti commune	5,543,118	5,543,118
4.3	Objective no.3 – Cuza Voda commune	4,232,960	4,232,960
4.4	Objective no.4 – Gradistea commune	8,825,583	8,825,583
4.5	Objective no.5 – Independenta commune	4,736,452	4,736,452
4.6	Objective no.6 – Vilcelele commune	4,532,386	4,532,386
4.7	Objective no.7 – Vlad Tepes commune	1,962,832	1,962,832

Total Chapter 4		33,715,973	33,715,973		
	Chapter 5 – Other expenditures				
5.1	Site mobilization	404,592	404,592		
5.2	Commissions, charges, etc.	236,012	-		
5.3	Contingencies	1,704,786	-		
	Total Chapter 5	2,345,390	404,592		
	Chapter 6 – Commissioning expe	nditures			
6.1	Training of operational personnel	-	-		
6.2	Technological tests, trials, official appraisal and	-	-		
	commissioning				
Total Part I,		36,760,216	34,120,565		
of which Construction + Installation		35,825,351	34,120,565		
	Part II				
Updat	ted residual value of existing fixed assets which are	-	-		
includ	included in the investment objective				
Part III					
Circulating fund required for the first production cycle		-	-		
GRAND TOTAL,		36,760,216	34,120,565		
	of which Construction +Installation	35,825,351	34,120,565		

# 4.2 BoQs for each investment component

**BILL OF QUANTITIES for Objective no. 1 – Alex. Odobescu commune** 

Item no.	Name of work category	Cost per unit (excluding VAT) in thousand ROL	No. of units	Cost per work category (excluding VAT) in thousand ROL
1.	Manure storage platform	1,345,395	2	2,690,790
2.	Effluent storage tank	142,853	2	285,706
3.	Bunkers for recyclable materials	30,886	2	61,772
4.	Access road	57,950	2	115,900
5.	Fencing	54,278	2	108,556
	Total	1,631,362	2	3,262,724
	VAT (19 %)	309,959	2	619,918
Bo	Q TOTAL, of which	1,941,321	2	3,882,642
Cons	truction + Installation	1,941,321		3,882,642

# **BILL OF QUANTITIES for Objective no. 2 – Ciocanesti commune**

Item no.	Name of work category	Cost per unit (excluding VAT) in thousand ROL	No. of units	Cost per work category (excluding VAT) in thousand ROL
1.	Manure storage platform	1,959,519	2	3,919,038
2.	Effluent storage tank	215,380	2	430,760
3.	Bunkers for recyclable materials	30,886	2	61,772
4.	Access road	57,950	2	115,900
5.	Fencing	65,306	2	130,612
	Total	2,329,041	2	4,658,082
	VAT (19 %)	442,518	2	885,036
BoC	Q TOTAL, of which	2,771,559	2	5,543,118
Const	truction + Installation	2,771,559		5,543,118

# BILL OF QUANTITIES for Objective no. 3 – Cuza Voda commune

Item no.	Name of work category	Cost per unit (excluding VAT) in thousand ROL	No. of units	Cost per work category (excluding VAT) in thousand ROL
1.	Manure storage platform	1,468,431	2	2,936,862
2.	Effluent storage tank	164,831	2	329,662
3.	Bunkers for recyclable materials	30,886	2	61,772
4.	Access road	57,950	2	115,900
5.	Fencing	56,457	2	112,914
	Total	1,778,555	2	3,557,110
	VAT (19 %)	337,925	2	675,850
В	oQ TOTAL, of which	2,116,480	2	4,232,960
Con	struction + Installation	2,116,480		4,232,960

# **BILL OF QUANTITIES for Objective no. 4 – Gradistea commune**

Item no.	Name of work category	Cost per unit (excluding VAT) in thousand ROL	No. of units	Cost per work category (excluding VAT) in thousand ROL
1.	Manure storage platform	2,080,908	3	6,242,724
2.	Effluent storage tank	239,555	3	718,665
3.	Bunkers for recyclable materials	30,886	3	92,658
4.	Access road	57,950	3	173,850
5.	Fencing	62,853	3	188,559
	Total	2,472,152	3	7,416,456
	VAT (19 %)	469,709	3	1,409,127
В	OQ TOTAL, of which	2,941,861	3	8,825,583
Cor	struction +Installation	2,941,861		8,825,583

# **BILL OF QUANTITIES for Objective no.5 – Independenta commune**

Item no.	Name of work category	Cost per unit (excluding VAT) in thousand ROL	No. of units	Cost per work category (excluding VAT) in thousand ROL
1.	Manure storage platform	1,656,046	2	3,312,092
2.	Effluent storage tank	186,809	2	373,618
3.	Bunkers for recyclable materials	30,886	2	61,772
4.	Access road	57,950	2	115,900
5.	Fencing	58,415	2	116,830
	Total	1,990,106	2	3,980,212
	VAT (19 %)	378,120	2	756,240
BoC	O TOTAL, of which	2,368,226	2	4,736,452
Const	ruction + Installation	2,368,226		4,736,452

# **BILL OF QUANTITIES for Objective no.6 – Vilcelele commune**

Item no.	Name of work category	Cost per unit (excluding VAT) in thousand ROL	No. of units	Cost per work category (excluding VAT) in thousand ROL
1.	Manure storage platform	1,582,293	2	3,164,586
2.	Effluent storage tank	175,823	2	351,646
3.	Bunkers for recyclable materials	30,886	2	61,772
4.	Access road	57,950	2	115,900
5.	Fencing	57,412	2	114,824
	Total	1,904,364	2	3,808,728
	VAT (19 %)	361,829	2	723,658
В	oQ TOTAL, of which	2,266,193	2	4,532,386
Con	struction + Installation	2,266,193		4,532,386

# **BILL OF QUANTITIES for Objective no.7 – Vlad Tepes commune**

Item	Name of work category	Cost per unit	No. of	Cost per work category
no.		(excluding	units	(excluding VAT) in
		VAT) in		thousand ROL
		thousand ROL		
1.	Manure storage platform	1,352,571	1	1,352,571
2.	Effluent storage tank	152,576	1	152,576
3.	Bunkers for recyclable	30,886	1	30,886
	materials			
4.	Access road	57,950	1	57,950
5.	Fencing	55,456	1	55,456
	Total	1,649,439	1	1,649,439
	VAT (19 %)	313,393	1	313,393
Bo	oQ TOTAL, of which	1,962,832	1	1,962,832
Con	struction + Installation	1,962,832		1,962,832

#### 4.3 Financial costs

# A. Financial costs, pertaining to Chapter 3 of the General BoQ

### 3.1 Field investigations:

- geotechnical surveys:  $5,000 \times 14 = 70,000$  thousand ROL
- topographical surveys:  $3,000 \times 14 = 42,000$  thousand ROL
- TOTAL = 112,000 thousand ROL

# 3.2 Authorizations, permits, consents, official charges and other dues to be obtained or incurred by the investor:

- Construction Authorization, according to provisions stipulated by Law no.27/17.05.1994 and by Government Ordinance no.24/11.08.1991: exempted
- Other permits and consents: 3 permits x 500 x 7 = 10,500 thousand ROL
- TOTAL = 10,500 thousand ROL

# 3.3 Design and engineering:

Costing was done in compliance with the methodology for land planning investments' studies and design (approved through Ordinance no.11/N/01.06.1994), accepted for the tendering of feasibility studies (FS):

- FS = 26,000 thousand ROL
- $TD + TDO + CS^*$ : 50,000 x 7 = 350,000 thousand ROL
- TOTAL = 376,000 thousand ROL

# 3.4 Organization of contractors' tendering:

V = 1% of the total value of works subject to tendering (Chapter 4 and paragr. 5.1)  $V = 0.01 \times (33,715,973 + 404,592) = 341,206$  thousand ROL: 2 works per commune = 170,603 thousand ROL

**TOTAL** 

170,603 thousand ROL

# 3.5 Consultation and technical assistance, including the fees for the technical supervision staff, during the construction phase:

- technical assistance and supervision during the construction phase would be provided by specialists of the Local Councils
- expenditures for TD and TDO verification:
- V = 8.5 % of the relevant phase design value
- $V = 0.085 \times 50{,}000 \text{ thousand ROL } \times 7 = 29{,}750 \text{ thousand ROL}$
- TOTAL 29,750 thousand ROL

<sup>\*</sup> where: TD = Technical Design; TDO = Tender Dossier; CS = Construction Specifications

#### B. Financial costs pertaining to Chapter 5 of the General BoQ

#### **5.1 Site mobilization**

For this, 1.2% of the C + I (Chapter 4) value is allocated:

 $V = 0.012 \times 33,715,973 = 404,592$  thousand ROL

TOTAL 404,592 thousand ROL

# 5.2 Commissions and other charges

Commissioning of Construction + Installation works:

0.7 % of (C+I) value =  $0.007 \times 33,715,973 = 236,012$  thousand ROL

TOTAL 236,012 thousand ROL

# **5.3 Contingencies**

For this, 5 % of the total value of works (paragr.3.3 + paragr.3.5 + Chapter 4) is allocated in accordance with Government Decision 376/08.07.1994:

 $V = 0.05 \times (350,000 + 29,750 + 33,715,973) = 1,704,786 \text{ thousand ROL}$ 

TOTAL 1,704,786 thousand ROL

#### 5. MAIN TECHNICAL AND ECONOMIC INDICES

#### 5.1 Total investment value of which construction + installation

Total investment value = 36,760,216 thousand ROL Of which C+I = 35,825,351 thousand ROL

### 5.2 Investment implementation schedule, of which construction + installation

Year 2002: - total investment value = 10,502,918 thousand ROL - of which C+I = 10,235,815 thousand ROL Year 2003: - total investment value = 10,502,918 thousand ROL - of which C+I = 10,235,815 thousand ROL Year 2004: - total investment value = 10,502,918 thousand ROL - of which C+I = 10,235,815 thousand ROL Year 2005: - total investment value = 5,251,459 thousand ROL - of which C+I = 5,117,907 thousand ROL

# 5.3 Planned duration of investment implementation

28 months (7 months per year)

# 5.4 Planned investment commissioning schedule

Year 2002: 4 platforms Year 2003: 4 platforms Year 2004: 4 platforms Year 2005: 2 platforms

#### 6. DISBURSEMENT SOURCES

The investment in manure storage platforms will be 50 % financed from Global Environment Facility (GEF) funds administered by the World Bank and 50 % from the Romanian Government.

### 7. NECESSARY AUTHORIZATIONS AND PERMITS

#### Existing:

- 1. Land Planning Certificate no. 123/24.11.2000 for Alexandru Odobescu commune
- 2. Land Planning Certificate no. 128/24.11.2000 for Ciocanesti commune
- 3. Land Planning Certificate no. 127/24.11.2000 for Cuza Voda commune
- 4. Land Planning Certificate no. 124/24.11.2000 for Gradistea commune
- 5. Land Planning Certificate no. 125/24.11.2000 for Independenta commune
- 6. Land Planning Certificate no. 129/24.11.2000 for Vilcelele commune
- 7. Land Planning Certificate no. 126/24.11.2000 for Vlad Tepes commune

#### To be obtained

- 8. Environmental Permit
- 9. Public Health Directorate Authorization
- 10. "Apele Romane" National Water Authority Permit
- 11. Authorization from the "Lower Danube Museum"

**DRAWINGS**