



GEF-6 GEF SECRETARIAT REVIEW SHEET FOR MEDIUM-SIZED PROJECT

GEF ID:	9160		
Country/Region:	Africa		
Project Title:	Regional Partnership for African Fisheries Policy Reform (RAFIP)		
GEF Agency:	World Bank	GEF Agency Project ID:	155961 (World Bank)
Type of Trust Fund:	GEF Trust Fund	GEF Focal Area (s):	International Waters
GEF-6 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF Objective (s):	IW-3 Program 5;		
Anticipated Financing PPG:	\$0	Project Grant:	\$2,000,000
Co-financing:	\$12,000,000	Total Project Cost:	\$14,000,000
PIF Approval:		Council Approval/Expected:	
CEO Endorsement/Approval		Expected Project Start Date:	
Program Manager:	Astrid Hillers	Agency Contact Person:	Paola Agostini

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comments	Agency Response
Project Consistency	1. Is the project aligned with the relevant GEF strategic objectives and results framework? ¹	Yes	
	2. Is the project structure/ design appropriate to achieve the expected outcomes and outputs?	yes	
	3. Is the project consistent with the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions?	yes	
	4. Does the project sufficiently	yes	

¹ For BD projects: has the project explicitly articulated which Aichi Target(s) the project will help achieve and are SMART indicators identified, that will be used to track the project's contribution toward achieving the Aichi Target(s)?

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comments	Agency Response
Project Design	indicate the drivers ² of global environmental degradation, issues of sustainability, market transformation, scaling, and innovation?		
	5. Is the project designed with sound incremental reasoning?	yes	
	6. Are the components in Table B sound and sufficiently clear and appropriate to achieve project objectives and the GEBs?	yes	
	7. Are socio-economic aspects, including relevant gender elements, indigenous people, and CSOs considered?	yes	
	8. Is the financing adequate and does the project demonstrate a cost-effective approach to meet the project objective?	yes	
	9. Does the project take into account potential major risks, including the consequences of climate change, and describes sufficient risk response measures? (e.g., measures to enhance climate resilience)	yes	
	10. Is co-financing confirmed and evidence provided?	yes	
	11. Are relevant tracking tools completed?	yes	
	12. <i>Only for Non-grant Instrument:</i> Has a reflow		

² Need not apply to LDCF/SCCF projects.

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comments	Agency Response
	calendar been presented?		
	13. Is the project coordinated with other related initiatives and national/regional plans in the country or in the region?	yes	
	14. Does the project include a budgeted M&E Plan that monitors and measures results with indicators and targets?	yes	
	15. Does the project have description of knowledge management plan?	yes	
Availability of Resources	16. Is the proposed Grant (including the Agency fee) within the resources available from (mark all that apply):		
	• The STAR allocation?		
	• The focal area allocation?	yes	
	• The LDCF under the principle of equitable access		
	• The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)?		
	• Focal area set-aside?		
Recommendations	17. Is the MSP being recommended for approval?	yes, the MSP is being recommended.	
Review Dates	First Review		
	Additional Review (as necessary)		

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comments	Agency Response
	Additional Review (as necessary)		